VACHON LAW FIRM 1 Michael R. Vachon, Esq. (SBN 206447) 2 17150 Via del Campo, Suite 204 San Diego, California 92127 Tel.: (858) 674-4100 3 Fax: (858) 674-4222 4 Attorney for Plaintiff Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk 5 By M. Fondon, Deputy 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - SOUTHWEST DISTRICT 9 TORRANCE COURTHOUSE 10 11 WAYNE CALVELO, an individual; Case No.: YC069605 12 FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR: Plaintiff, 13 1. VIOLATION OF CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT (INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 14 AND DAMAGES): POWER NISSAN OF SOUTH BAY, a 2. VIOLATION OF AUTOMOBILE SALES business entity, form unknown; and 15 DOES 1 through 75, FINANCE ACT: 3. VIOLATION OF CREDIT SERVICES ACT 16 Defendants. OF 1984; 4. INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION; 17 5. UNFAIR COMPETITION (BUS. & PROF. CODE SECTION 17200) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

SUMMARY

- 1. This lawsuit arises out of a consumer's purchase of a used 2012 Nissan Armada from Defendant Power Nissan of South Bay (a Hawthorne, California car dealership). Power Nissan of South Bay concealed and fraudulently failed to disclose to Plaintiff that the Nissan Armada had previously been registered as a rental vehicle (even though such disclosure is mandatory under California law) in order to trick Plaintiff into paying a higher purchase price. Power Nissan of South Bay also illegally falsified the down payment amounts listed in Plaintiff's purchase contract in order to get him financed for an auto loan for which he otherwise would not have qualified.
- 2. Power Nissan of South Bay's conduct amounts to common law fraud, violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act (Civil Code § 1750 et seq.) (the "CLRA"), violation of the Automobile Sales Finance Act (Civil Code 2981 et seq.), violation of the Credit Services Act of 1984 (Civil Code § 1789.10 et seq.) (the "CSA"), breach of the implied warranty of merchantability, and unfair competition.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Wayne Calvelo is an individual residing in Lawndale, California.
- 4. Defendant Power Nissan of South Bay is a business entity, form unknown, that does business as the car dealership "Power Nissan of South Bay" at 14610 Hindry Avenue, Hawthorne, California.
- 5. Plaintiff does not know the true names and capacities, whether corporate, partnership, associate, individual, or otherwise, of defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 75, inclusive, and thus name them under the provisions of Section 474 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Defendants Does 1 through 75 are in some manner responsible for the acts set forth herein, and are legally liable to Plaintiff. Plaintiff will

set forth the true names of the fictitiously-named defendants together with appropriate charging allegations when ascertained.

- 6. All acts of corporate employees were authorized or ratified by an officer, director, or managing agent of the corporate employer.
- 7. Each defendant (whether actually or fictitiously-named herein) was the principal, agent, alter-ego, co-conspirator, or employee of each other defendant and in acting as such principal or within the course and scope of such employment, agency, or conspiracy, took some part in the acts and omissions hereinafter set forth by reason of which each defendant is liable to Plaintiff.

FACTS

- 8. Plaintiff alleges as follows, on information and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances:
- 9. On or about February 8, 2013, Plaintiff visited Power Nissan of South Bay and while there was shown the Nissan Armada with vehicle identification number 5N1AAoND4CN611617 (hereafter the "Nissan Armada").
- 10. Power Nissan of South Bay knew that the Nissan Armada had previously been registered as a rental vehicle; however, Power Nissan of South Bay concealed and did not disclose this fact to Plaintiff.
- 11. Not knowing that it had previously been registered as a rental vehicle, Plaintiff expressed an interest in purchasing the Nissan Armada.
- 12. Power Nissan of South Bay then discovered that Plaintiff was unable to make an immediate down payment. Further, without a substantial down payment Power Nissan of South Bay would not have been able to find a lender to finance Plaintiff's purchase of the Nissan Armada. Accordingly, in order to trick a lender into financing the Nissan Armada's purchase, and in order to get Plaintiff's signature on a

contract before he changed his mind, Power Nissan of South Bay told Plaintiff that he could purchase the Nissan Armada and immediately take delivery of that vehicle, without making any immediate down payment whatsoever, if he agreed to pay \$5,000 towards the down payment on March 8, 2013. Plaintiff agreed.

- 13. Power Nissan of South Bay prepared Plaintiff's credit application and the retail installment sale contract for the Nissan Armada. Power Nissan of South Bay charged a fee to prepare these documents for Plaintiff, and also received valuable consideration for preparing Plaintiff's application for credit in the form of the consideration it received under the Nissan Armada's purchase contract.
- 14. When it prepared the retail installment sale contract for the Nissan Armada, Power Nissan of South Bay intentionally and falsely stated therein that Plaintiff was making an immediate cash down payment of \$5,000, and not making any deferred down payment when in reality the parties agreed that Plaintiff would pay no immediate cash down payment at all, and make a \$5,000 deferred down payment on March 8, 2013.
- 15. Power Nissan of South Bay presented the retail installment sale contract to Plaintiff for him to sign, telling Plaintiff that it accurately memorialized their agreement for the sale and purchase of the Nissan Armada. Relying on these representations, Plaintiff signed the contract.
- 16. Power Nissan of South Bay represented that the Nissan Armada's retail installment sale contract was a legally enforceable agreement binding Plaintiff to all of its obligations.
- 17. Power Nissan of South Bay submitted Plaintiff's credit application, along with the signed purchase contract for the Nissan Armada, to potential lenders, and eventually assigned it to a lender who was not aware of the deferred down payment.

24

25

26

27

28

- Plaintiff's purchase of the Nissan Armada was accompanied by Power 19. Nissan of South Bay's implied warranty of merchantability.
- Power Nissan of South Bay's concealment and failure to disclose the 20. Nissan Armada's rental history was malicious, fraudulent, and oppressive.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Consumers Legal Remedies Act - Injunctive Relief and Damages

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1 21. through 20.
- The Nissan Armada is a "good" under the CLRA that was bought for use 22. primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
 - Plaintiff is a "consumer" under the CLRA. 23.
- The advertisement and the sale of the Nissan Armada to Plaintiff are 24. "transactions" under the CLRA.
- The CLRA prohibits numerous unlawful business acts, including: (i) 25. representing that goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or quantities which they do not have or that a person has sponsorship, approval, status, affiliation, or connection which he or she does not have;

(ii) representing that goods or services are of a particular standard, quality, or grade, or that goods are of a particular style or model, if they are another; (iii) representing that the subject of a transaction has been supplied in accordance with a previous representation when it has not, (iv) advertising goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised; and (v) inserting an unconscionable provision into a contract. The CLRA also prohibits the omission of statements, where there exists a duty to make a statement or disclosure.

- 26. Power Nissan of South Bay had a duty to disclose the known rental history of the Nissan Armada under 13 California Code of Regulations Section 260.02.
- 27. Power Nissan of South Bay violated the CLRA by: (1) failing to disclose that the Nissan Armada had previously been registered as a rental vehicle; and (2) representing that the Nissan Armada's purchase contract was an enforceable contract, even though it knew that it was unenforceable because of Power Nissan of South Bay's violations of the ASFA
- 28. Plaintiff sent the Dealership, via certified mail, return receipt requested, a Consumer Legal Remedies Act notification and demand letter at least 30 days prior to filing this Complaint. The notice letter sets forth the relevant facts, notifies Power Nissan of South Bay of its CLRA violations, and requests that Power Nissan of South Bay promptly remedy those violations.
- 29. Under the CLRA, a plaintiff may without prior notification file a complaint alleging violations of the CLRA that seeks injunctive relief only. Then, if the defendant does not remedy the CLRA violations within 30 days of notification, the plaintiff may amend her or his CLRA causes of action without leave of court to add claims for damages. Power Nissan of South Bay did not give or agree to give an appropriate correction, repair, replacement, or other remedy without the statutory time period.

2

Accordingly, Plaintiff hereby amends this Complaint to add claims for actual and punitive damages under the Consumer Legal Remedies Act.

- Under the CLRA, Plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction 30. prohibiting practices that violate the CLRA.
- Power Nissan of South Bay has an illegal pattern and practice of (1) failing 31. to disclose the known rental history of vehicles that it sells to the public, (2) misrepresenting to consumers that automobile purchase contracts are enforceable when it knows that they are not due to its violations of the ASFA.
- Plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction that compels Power Nissan 32. of South Bay to notify all consumers who have been victims of the above-described illegal conduct, and enjoining Power Nissan of South Bay from such further acts of illegal conduct.
 - Plaintiff is also entitled to recover his attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses. 33.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of Automobile Sales Finance Act

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1 34. through 33.
- The purchase contract for the Nissan Armada is a conditional sale contract 35. subject to the ASFA.
 - Power Nissan of South Bay is a "seller" under the ASFA. 36.
 - Plaintiff is a "buyer" under the ASFA. 37.
 - The Nissan Armada is a "motor vehicle" under the ASFA. 38.
- Civil Code Section 2981.9 requires that all motor vehicle purchase 39. contracts subject to the ASFA contain in a single document all of the agreements between the buyer and the seller with respect to the total cost and terms of payment for

the motor vehicle, including any promissory notes or other evidence of indebtedness (hereafter referred to as the "Single Document Rule").

- 40. Power Nissan of South Bay failed to comply with the Single Document Rule. Such failures include, but are not limited to, the fact that the amount and due date for Plaintiff's \$5,000 deferred down payment is not listed in the Nissan Armada's purchase contract.
- 41. Civil Code Section 2982(a)(6) requires all motor vehicle purchase contracts that are subject to the ASFA to separately and specifically itemize the amount that the buyer is immediately paying as a cash down payment. Civil Code Section 2982(a)(6) also requires purchase contracts to separately and specifically itemize the amount of any deferred down payments.
- 42. Power Nissan of South Bay violated Civil Code Section 2982. Such failures include, but are not limited to, the fact that Power Nissan of South Bay failed to correctly itemize in the purchase contract the amount of Plaintiff's actual immediate cash down payment and the amount of his deferred down payment.
- 43. Power Nissan of South Bay's violations of the Single Document Rule and Civil Code Section 2982 were intentional.
- 44. Because of Power Nissan of South Bay's failure to comply with the Single Document Rule and Civil Code Section 2982 the purchase contract for the Nissan Armada is not enforceable, and Plaintiff is entitled to rescission of the contract and restitution of all amounts paid towards the Nissan Armada purchase.
- 45. Plaintiff is also entitled to incidental and consequential damages, and his attorney's fees, costs, and out-of-pocket expenses.

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 45.
- Power Nissan of South Bay is a credit service organization under the
- Power Nissan of South Bay made untrue and misleading statements concerning Plaintiff's creditworthiness, credit standing, and/or credit capacity to lenders that it knew and/or should have known to be untrue and misleading.
- Plaintiff is entitled to damages, which shall not in any event be less than the amount paid to Power Nissan of South Bay, and his attorney's fees and costs.
- Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through
- Power Nissan of South Bay omitted from the statements it made material facts, the disclosure of which was necessary, (1) in order to make its other statements not misleading; (2) because they were known materials facts; (3) because Power Nissan of South Bay knew that it had exclusive knowledge that was not accessible to Plaintiff; and (4) because it was reasonable for Plaintiff to expect disclosure of such facts. These omissions include, but are not limited to, the fact that the Nissan Armada was
- At all times Power Nissan of South Bay either had actual or constructive notice of the true facts but nonetheless intentionally or recklessly concealed these facts

- 54. Power Nissan of South Bay made these representations and omitted material facts with the intent to defraud Plaintiff and to induce Plaintiff to purchase the Nissan Armada and pay an inflated sales price. At the time Plaintiff purchased the Nissan Armada he did not know, or have reason to know, that Power Nissan of South Bay was making false and misleading representations and had omitted material facts. Plaintiff acted in justifiable reliance upon the truth of the representations which misled him as to the nature and extent of the facts concealed. Plaintiff was justified in his reliance, as Power Nissan of South Bay held itself out as professionals in the automotive sales industry, and Plaintiff had no reason to doubt such representations.
- 55. As a direct and proximate result of the Power Nissan of South Bay's fraudulent representations and omissions of material facts, Plaintiff suffered damages, including actual, general, consequential and incidental damages according to proof at trial.
 - 56. Plaintiff is also entitled to punitive damages.
- 57. Power Nissan of South Bay committed fraud in the inducement of the purchase contract for the Nissan Armada, and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to rescission and restitution in an amount according to proof at trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Unfair Competition

- 58. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 57.
- 59. Power Nissan of South Bay's acts, omissions, misrepresentations, practices, and non-disclosures constituted unlawful, fraudulent, and unfair business acts and practices within the meaning of California Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq.

- 60. Power Nissan of South Bay has engaged in "unlawful" business acts and practices by: (1) failing to disclose the known rental history of the Nissan Armada; (2) violating the Single Document Rule; and (3) falsifying the amounts of Plaintiff's down payment and deferred down payment in the Nissan Armada's purchase contract. These acts and practices were intended to and did violate the CLRA, the ASFA, 13 California Code of Regulations Sections 260.02, Vehicle Code Sections 11713.1 and 11713.16, and California Civil Code Section 1709.
- 61. Power Nissan of South Bay also engaged in "fraudulent" business acts or practices in that the representations and omissions of material fact described above have a tendency and likelihood to deceive the general public.
- 62. Power Nissan of South Bay also engaged in "unfair" business acts or practices in that the justification for selling vehicles based on the misrepresentations and omissions of material fact delineated above is outweighed by the gravity of the resulting harm, particularly considering the available alternatives, and offends public policy, is immoral, unscrupulous, unethical, and offensive, or causes substantial injury to consumers.
- 63. The above described unlawful, fraudulent, or unfair business acts and practices conducted by Power Nissan of South Bay continue to this day and present a threat to Plaintiff and the general public in that Power Nissan of South Bay has failed to publicly acknowledge the wrongfulness of its actions and provide full equitable injunctive and monetary relief as required by law.
- 64. Pursuant to California Business & Professions Code Section 17203, Plaintiff is entitled to and seeks a permanent injunction requiring Power Nissan of South Bay to immediately cease such acts of unfair competition and enjoining Power Nissan of South Bay from continuing to conduct business via the unlawful, fraudulent,